

# Clarifications - Spencer Childs

Text in italics is my copyright

## Introduction

*I started a private family web site years ago with Janice Carerra. It was entered into with the strict understanding that the site would not be on Google and would never be able to be found with any search engine. The site address was handed around the family to those members who showed an interest and were not liable to be offended; though it was certainly not offensive in that private context. I also produced a CD of the site for the same purpose. This seemed to be a good basis upon which to proceed in a family with such sensitivities and a chequered history. I now understand that Janice Carerra gave an assurance to other members of the family that only those older than her grandparents would be included on such a site. In breaching all of the above, Janice has been rejected by most of her "old" family.*

*All the photographs I sent were sent in the context of the above privacy policy for that original site, and not with my blessing for use on the current public BCG site. That especially applies to photographs of me and my family and, of course, any of our copyrighted material. That automatically includes anything written or produced and created by me and my family. And a BCG-edited photograph of me and my wife, culled from my son's site, my wife was cut off.*

### **Why?**

*Emails attributed to me on the BCG site are emails culled from my private emails of years ago; I have never given my permission for them to be there nor have I sent any email specifically for inclusion on the site. I'm astonished that BCG still has such old emails of mine to draw upon.*

***Why?** I'm incensed that they use those private documents so carelessly. From now on, obviously, I cannot enter into any email conversations with them. This public space is a safer place for me to make my points. It has the blaze of publicity to ensure that nothing gets lost or is edited. I have no intention of splashing private lives across the world wide web; I am acting reactively not pro actively. I may publish the odd email, since I am told by BCG that that is legal. One is especially pertinent to what I am talking about here.*

*This site is a necessary reaction after five years of ignoring BCG transgressions, I just felt the need to put a few things straight, and to highlight what has been going on. There will be anger but I have to say there has been huge anger within a large section of the family for five years. I don't suppose you decent, "new" relatives would want to have your pleasures at others' expense - would you?*

*I will not be able to highlight all the problems on the site without compounding the exposure given to the "old" family. But I should be able to get my message over obliquely. What you read between the lines might well be there.*

*I understand from BCG that publishing my private emails is not a crime nor is it, it would seem, in any way immoral. I beg to differ; but I think the goose has had far too much sauce at the expense of the gander over the last five years.*

*This document is written in the hope that one day it will not be necessary.*